

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-3801
(212) 218-2100

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 08 2007

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

TO: Examiner Peter K. Hutsinger
USPTO

FROM: Christian Mannino

RE: U.S. Application No. 09/918,499
Art Unit No.: 2625
Conf. No.: 3225
Our Ref. No.: 02922.000045.

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300**DATE:** November 8, 2007**NO. OF PAGES:** 3
(including cover page)**TIME:****SENT BY:****MESSAGE**

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES
PLEASE CALL 212-218-2100 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.**

Note: We are transmitting from a Canon Model FAX-L770
(compatible with any Group I, Group II or Group III machine).

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE INDICATED ABOVE. INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL MAY BE CONTAINED THEREIN. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, REVIEW OR USE OF THIS MESSAGE, DOCUMENTS OR INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE OR FACSIMILE AND MAIL THE ORIGINAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. THANK YOU.

A.N. 09/918,499
Atty. Docket No. 02922.000045.

PROPOSED AGENDA FOR INTERVIEW

As you requested in your telephone conversation with me on November 5, 2007, Applicant proposes the following agenda for a telephone interview in the above-identified application.

Applicant notes that Sections 2-4 of the Office Action do not appear to analyze Claim 1 based upon the amendment filed on August 21, 2007. In particular the output means of Claim 1 output a report that includes at least information for specifying a conversion method for converting a size of the input image data into the smaller size. Applicant proposes to discuss the rejection of Claim 1. Specifically, Applicant proposes to show that *Shibata* fails to teach or suggest "output means for outputting a report including at least a transmitting date, a destination and information for specifying a conversion method, for converting the size of the input image data into the smaller size, used by said converting means in a case where a retransmitting of the electronic mail by said transmitting means has been carried out" as recited in Claim 1.

Shibata apparently discusses an output report that includes information about the transmission mode (E-mail or facsimile mode) of a communication. However, the information about the transmission mode does not appear to be related to "information for specifying the conversion method for converting the size of the input image data into the smaller size", as recited in Claim 1. In *Shibata* the information in the output report at most deals with the method of transmission, and not the method of file conversion. Therefore, *Shibata* is not believed to teach or suggest the output means of Claim 1, and therefore cannot remedy the deficiencies of *Malik* with respect to teaching the outputting means of Claim 1.

A.N. 09/918,499

Atty. Docket No. 02922.000045.

Please contact me at (212) 218-2267 once you have considered this proposed agenda. Applicant requests that a supervisory examiner with negotiation authority will be present at the interview.

Sincerely,



Christian Mannino
Reg. No.: 58,373

CM:

FCH6_WS 1732753v1